

# Introduction to univariate AR state-space models

Eli Holmes

*FISH 507 – Applied Time Series Analysis*

21 January 2019

## Points from Thursday

---

- Data affected by a perturbation is problematic for `arima()`, `Arima()`.
- Seasonal ARIMA has effect of Jan (or Feb ...) in year  $t$  on Jan (or Feb ...) in year  $t+1$ . Not typical when working with population data.
- Removing the mean season is different than a seasonal difference.
- Data with multiple seasons (daily, monthly, yearly) will be problematic for standard ARIMA seasonal models.
- Linear effects of past values might be problematic.

## Weeks 1-3.5: building blocks for analysis of multivariate time-series data with observation error, structure, and missing values

---

- Matrix math (multivariate)
- Properties of time series data
- AR and MA models
- State-space models: observation + process model
- Model evaluation and model selection
- Fitting models with STAN (non-linear, non-Gaussian, disparate data streams)

Starting next week: we will put this all together to start analyzing ecological data sets

# univariate linear state-space model

---

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$

$$y_t = x_t + v_t, \quad v_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, r)$$


The  $x$  model is the classic “random walk”.  
This model is a random walk observed with  
error.

# univariate linear state-space model

---

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$

$$y_t = x_t + v_t, \quad v_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, r)$$



Many textbooks on this class of model. Used extensively in economics and engineering



# Definition: AR-1 or AR lag-1

---

Value at time  $t$  is the value at time  $t-1$  plus random error

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t$$

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + w_t$$

$$x_t = bx_{t-1} + u + w_t$$



Addition of “b” (<1) leads to process model with mean-reversion,

---

$$N_t = \exp(u + e_t) N_{t-1}^b$$

→  $x_t = b x_{t-1} + u + e_t$  Log-space

$$e_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$



$b < 1$ : Gompertz density-dependent process

This model is quite hard to fit

---

$$N_t = \exp(u + e_t) N_{t-1}^b$$

$$x_t = bx_{t-1} + u + e_t \quad \text{Log-space}$$

$$e_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$

b and u are confounded = ridge likelihood = many b/u combinations that fit the data

If you have observation error, you need either long times or replication to estimate this model.



# Why is the AR-1 model so important in analysis of ecological data?

---

## Additive random walks

- Movement, changes in gene frequency, somatic growth if growth is by fixed amounts

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$

Why normal? The average of many small perturbations, regardless of their distribution, is normal

## Multiplicative random walks


- Population growth, somatic growth if growth is by percentage

$$n_t = \lambda n_{t-1} w_t, \quad w_t \sim \log\text{-Normal}(0, q)$$

- take log and you get the linear additive model above. log-normal means that 10% increase is as likely as 10% decrease

# An AR-1 random walk can show a wide-range of trajectories, even for the same parameter values

All trajectories came from the same rw model:  $x_t = x_{t-1} - 0.02 + e_t$ ,  $e_t \sim \text{Normal}(\text{mean}=0.0, \text{var}=0.01)$   
same as the “stochastic exponential growth model”:  $N_t = N_{t-1} \exp(-0.02 + e_t)$



# Definition: state-space

---

The “state”, the  $x$ , is a hidden (dynamical) variable. In this class, it is a **hidden random walk**.

Our data,  $y$ , are observations of this.


*Often state-space models include inputs (explanatory variables) and typically at least the  $x$  is multivariate, and often also  $y$ .*

The model you are seeing today is a simple univariate state-space model with no inputs.

$$\text{state process } x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$


$$\text{obs process } y_t = x_t + v_t, \quad v_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, r)$$

# univariate example: population count data




# Observation error

**There IS some number of sea lions in our population in year  $x$ , but we don't know that number precisely. It is "hidden".**




Suppose we have the following data  
(population counts logged)

---




# A linear regression model

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta t + z_t; z_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma)$$



# Versus a state-space model


Autoregressive state-space models fit a RANDOM WALK through the data  
variability = "observation error" + "process error"





# Two types of variability

## #1 observation or “non-process” variability



# Two types of variability

## #1 observation or “non-process” variability


---

The non-process (observation) variance is often unknowable in fisheries and ecological data

- *Sightability varies due to factors that may not be fully understood or measureable*
  - Environmental factors (tides, temperature, etc.)
  - Population factors (age structure, sex ratio, etc.)
  - Species interactions (prey distribution, prey density, predator distribution or density, etc.)
- *Sampling variability*--due to how you actually count animals--is just one component of observation variance


## #2 Process variability

This is the process line. It 'wiggles' due to process variability. The next few lectures we will focus on processes that are simple random walks with drift:  $x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t$ ,  $w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$




# Process error is the difference between the expected $x(t)$ and the actual value







Let's say that in  $x(t)=x(t-1)-0.02+e(t)^*$



\*If this were a population model, that means a the mean rate of decline is ca 2% per year




# One use of univariate state-space models is “count-based” population viability analysis (chap 6 HWS2014)




# How you model your data has a large impact on your forecasts


### Process error only




### Histogram at yr 40




### Observation error only



### Histogram at yr 40



# How can we separate process and non-process variance?








# How can we separate process and observation variance? They have different temporal patterns.




Process error:  $x_t = x_{t-1} + u + e_t$

multiple  
sims of  
 $x(t)$  with  
same  $u$   
and  $q$



Observation error:  $y_t = x_t + \eta_t$

multiple  
sims of  
 $y(t)$  with  
same  
 $x(t)$



# An AR-1 state-space model combines a model for the hidden AR-1 process with a model for the observation process

---

...and allows us to separate the variances

Process model

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t$$

$$w_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, q)$$

AR lag-1  
random walk with drift  
normally distributed  
process errors

Observation model

$$y_t = x_t + v_t$$

$$v_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, r)$$


observation errors  
normally distributed  
process errors



# Kalman Filter: Estimate the $x$ in a state-space model

---

A mathematical algorithm that solves for the 'optimal' (least error or maximum-likelihood)  $x_t$  given all the data ( $y$ ) from time 1 to  $t$



# Let's simulate and try fitting some models

---

- Open up R and follow after me
- univariate\_example\_1.R
- univariate\_example\_2.R
- univariate\_example\_3.R

# How to write a straight-line as AR-1

- ##Preliminaries: how to write ## $x = \text{intercept} + \text{slope} * t$  as a AR-1
- $x(0) = \text{intercept}$
- $x(1) = x(0) + \text{slope}$  #this is x at t=1
- $x(2) = x[1] + \text{slope}$
- so..
- $x(t) = x(t-1) + \text{slope} + w(t)$ ,  $w(t) \sim N(0,0)$

# MARSS R Package

- Fits MARSS models (multivariate AR-1 state-space)
- General, fits any MARSS model with Gaussian errors
- But
- Maximum likelihood
- Slow. Students working with large data sets have gotten huge speed improvements by coding their models in TMB

# MARSS R Package

- Fits MARSS models (multivariate AR-1 state-space)
- MARSS model syntax

$$X(t) = \mathbf{B} X(t-1) + \mathbf{U} + w(t), w(t) \sim N(0, \mathbf{Q})$$

$$Y(t) = \mathbf{Z} X(t) + \mathbf{A} + v(t), v(t) \sim N(0, \mathbf{R})$$

- **fit2=MARSS(y,model=mod.list)**
- **y** is data; **model** tells MARSS what the parameters are
- The parameters are MATRICES
- You write matrices just like they appear in your model on paper
- You pass **model** to MARSS as a list

# MARSS model in matrix form

---

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \\ y_{3,t} \\ y_{4,t} \\ y_{5,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_{JF,t} \\ x_{N,t} \\ x_{S,t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ a_5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1,t} \\ \eta_{2,t} \\ \eta_{3,t} \\ \eta_{4,t} \\ \eta_{5,t} \end{bmatrix}$$



$$X(t) = \mathbf{B} X(t-1) + \mathbf{U} + w(t), w(t) \sim N(0, \mathbf{Q})$$

$$Y(t) = \mathbf{Z} X(t) + \mathbf{A} + v(t), v(t) \sim N(0, \mathbf{R})$$

Let's say we want to fit this model:

```
mod.list=list(  
  U=matrix("u"),  
  x0=matrix(0),  
  B=matrix(1),  
  Q=matrix(0.1),  
  Z=matrix(1),  
  A=matrix(0),  
  R=matrix("r"),  
  tinitx=0)
```

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u + w_t, w_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2 = 0.1)$$

$$y_t = x_t + v_t, v_t \sim N(0, r)$$

$$x_0 = 0$$

# Let's simulate and try fitting some models

---




- Open up R and follow after me
- univariate\_example\_1.R
- univariate\_example\_2.R
- univariate\_example\_3.R



# State-space diagnostics

# Basic diagnostics

## Nile River models from the lab handout




# Basic diagnostics: #1 plot the residuals


There should be no temporal trends!

They should be centered about 0.


Model residuals  
flat level




State residuals  
flat level




linear trend




linear trend




stoc level




stoc level



# non-process error or model residual







# process error or state residual



# Basic diagnostics: #2 check acf of residuals



$v(t)$  are  
model  
residuals



$w(t)$  are  
state  
residuals




# Even our 'best' model is missing something...




# Basic diagnostics: #3 Simulate from your estimated model and compare to the data.

---



Black line is the  
estimated state  
from model 2

A photograph of two African wild dogs (Lycapes canis) in a natural, outdoor setting. The dog on the right is in the foreground, looking directly at the camera with large, upright ears. The dog on the left is slightly behind and to the side, also looking towards the camera. The background is a bright, overcast sky.

Basic diagnostics #4 Simulate from known model and then test whether you can re-capture the true estimates

# How do you know when to use a process error or observation error model?

---

- If your time-series data contain both types, use a model with both types.
- To estimate both variances, you need a) 20+ time steps **OR** b) multi-site data.
- If you don't have enough data, you need to use assumptions about one of the variances. Meaning a) fix the value or b) incorporate a prior.
- Diagnostics: Observation error induces autocorrelation in the noise of an autoregressive process. Fit a process-error only model ( $R=0$ ) and check for autocorrelation of residuals

# Other types of “non-process” error

---

- Fluctuations that don't have “feedback” (variance doesn't explode)
- Lots of biological processes also create noise that looks like that
  - age-structure cycles                      o cyclic variability in fecundity
  - density-dependence                        o predator-prey interactions
- If your model cannot accommodate that cycling,
  - it tends to get ‘soaked’ up in the ‘non-process’ error component
- If your model can accommodate that cycling,
  - estimation of ‘observation error’ variance can be confounded, unless you have long, long datasets or replicates

# Thursday lecture: multivariate state-space

---

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \\ y_{3,t} \\ y_{4,t} \\ y_{5,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_{JF,t} \\ x_{N,t} \\ x_{S,t} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ a_5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1,t} \\ \eta_{2,t} \\ \eta_{3,t} \\ \eta_{4,t} \\ \eta_{5,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thursday lab: fitting univariate and multivariate state-space models